App F IX 4

Previous References

1943 Mdl (Mdl)

File No 53-Dl 2

Serial Nos 21

Mdl Dep 561-42

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos One

Part B for July 43

SUBJECT

Reconsideration of the orders of Govt declining the grant of increment to Mr Gopala Krishnamachar, S D

To The DP H

The Md Commr, B City

Dl 21 The Comptroller

No d 557-9 D 12-7-43

Sanctioning the increment

HSh 2/8

Letter No 4E3 913 Dy 29-4-43 from the Dr of Health forwarding in original the application of Mr P.S. Gopalakrishnamachar, Samy Inspector praying for the reconsideration of the orders of Govt declining the grant of an increment of Rs 3 raising his pay from Rs 97 to Rs 100

1) The appln presented by the Samy Inspector has been forwarded to Govt by the Dr of Health

2) Kind reference is invited to the orders of the Minister, L.S.G. & P.H. in para 4/6 of the notes in ml file 53-42 post up below

3) Submit for orders

UKS 29/5/43

4) Is there any note as stated as at "A" in the representation

L 3/5/43

5) Kind reference is invited to Article 79 of the Mo S R regulating the grant of increments The Financial Secy will also be consulted regarding the contention of the Minister at A in his petition

Submit for orders

UKS 4/5

Law Secy L 7/5/43

Joint Secretary to Government

UKS 10-5-43

L

Secy

Compt W C

for favour of

Govt Edwards 11/5/43

5th 13325 - 1/5/43

FINANCIAL SECRET... U.O. No. F.I. 5398 ... 5/43 ... ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE ... 4/132/SPC ... 12 MAY 1943 ... CLERK

No 105/CLERK

U O No.519/C.LAD dated the 18th June 1943

Returned with Compliments.-

Under Note 3 to Article 79 Mysore Service Regulations when the authority passing orders to with-hold an increment does not specify clearly the period for which the increment is with-held and does not expressly state that the with-holding of the increment is not to have the effect of similarly postponing future increments, the increment should be held to have been put off permanently. The case of the petitioner under question exactly coincides with the illustration cited therein to clarify the meaning of the rule. An increment of Rs 3/- was due to the petitioner on 1-9-39 raising his pay to Rs 100/- But it was with-held by the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City Municipality, who has neither specified clearly the period for which this increment is with held nor has he expressly stated that the with holding of the increment is not to have the effect similarly postponing future increments though in this particular case it is the last increment In these circumstances the increment raising his pay to Rs.100/- is to be considered to have been with held only for the period required to earn the next increment if there was one i e , for two years and to have effect from 1-9-41. To this extent the contention of the petitioner referred to at A appears to be correct But in this case the Municipal Commissioner has stated even after 1-9-41 that the applicant does not deserve the increment As there is no provision in the rules to cover such cases the case has

3

Rep of Mr. Gopala Krishnamachar 6612/1
for reconsideration of orders already
passed in matter of granting
him an increment

File No of 194 Comptroller's Office

the case has to be disposed of on its merits

Serial No 5398

Comptroller
SD
18/6/43

I gather that the work of the
Official continues to be un-
satisfactory. If it is so, he will not
be entitled to any increment,
till his work is found satis-
factory by the Officer, under
whom he works

M.Z.
22/6/43

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L S G & P H
Date 29 6 43
No 10589

SECY LAW DEPT
2 1943
GOVT OF MYSORE.

Draft reply for approval
Submitted with a draft letter for approval
Lawyer

Petn from Mr Gopala Krishnamachar for an increment. 661/2

Comptroller's Office — HL. File No 53 of 1942

Serial No.

It may however be pointed out that the official has only one year's service remaining & that the D.P.C. meets & the panel is sent with his work

Mr R Sg

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L.S.G. & P.H. Date 30.4.43. No 10630

Ag
29/4/43
for 30/4/43

The increment may be sanctioned

for
5/7/43
Ag
6/7/43
Dy Sec'y to Govt
6/7/43

Submitted with a draft G.O. for appl.

WKB 2/7/43

for Secretary
7/7/43

TYPE SET - 9 JULY 1943 GEN. & REV SECTT

To

The Dy Sec'y to Govt. Municipal

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Ag
Dy Sec'y
12/7/43

Sanctions the increment

B
Dy Sec'y
17/7/43

C F No 46]

6612

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Telegram / phone

OFFICE OF
The Director of Public Health
in Mysore, Bangalore

No HES 913
Encls One application

Date April 27, 1943

To

The Secretary to Government,
Law Department, Bangalore

Sir

Petition of Mr Gopalakrishanamachar, Sanitary Inspector, praying for reconsideration of orders already passed in the matter of the grant of his increment raising his pay from Rs 97 to Rs 100/- in grade 87-5/2-100 with effect from 1st September 1939

With reference to your letter No L 9283/M1 53-42-10 dated 13th March 1943 conveying orders of Government that the increment in question cannot be sanctioned, I have the honour to forward herewith, in original, petition dated 20th April - 1943 presented by the official praying for reconsideration of the orders already passed in the matter

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Director of Public Health

Bangalore City
April 20, 1943

16

To

The Director of Public Health
in Mysore, Bangalore

Respected Sir,

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your Memo No H ES 796 dated 24th March 1943 communicating me the orders of Government refusing to accord sanction to my final increment of Rs 3/- in grade 87-5/2-100 with effect from 1 9 1939

2 When I approached the Secretary to Government, Law Department, on 12th April 1943 as per your oral orders, he was pleased to say that the Government had no objection to receive another representation in the matter for reconsideration and added that such a representation has to be submitted through the Director of Public Health Hence I am submitting this with an humble request that you may be pleased to recommend once again my poor case to Government for favour of kind reconsideration and early favourable orders

3 In this connection, I beg to state that this special grade of pay was very kindly sanctioned by Government in the year 1934 for my "continued good service" for a period of over 24 years It is not unknown to you that this special grade is granted to very few Sanitary Inspectors in the State and that in my case, it is "personal", as stated in the Government Order Even in this special grade, I have earned in the usual course, two increments viz , Rs 87/- to Rs 92/- and Rs 92/- to Rs 97/- and there is only one (the final one) of a small sum of Rs 3/- which is long overdue I am anxiously expecting it every day for the past 3½ years It is just now that I am informed, to my great misfortune, that this is denied to me and I really don't know under what circumstances, such an order is passed It may not be out of place to state that I am not so far, communicated any order passed against me for "Unsatisfactory work" I beg permission to submit, Sir, th t this small increment is earned by me for the period of service rendered in Mandya Municipality (and not in Bangalore City Municipality) whose President has been kind enough to recommend its sanction I joined duty in Bangalore City Municipality on 1st August 1939 and my increment fell due on 1st September 1939

SPECIAL SECTION

4 Besides, I beg to be kindly excused to bring to your kind notice that the Service Regulations says that a periodical increment could be stopped for unsatisfactory work of the official only for a definite period and not for ever No order of any such kind has been passed or communicated to me Having earned every one of the fifteen increments from Rs 30/- to Rs 97/- during my longservice of over 30 years, <u>each one on the due date</u>, it is certainly my great misfortune that I should be denied the enjoyment of this final one of a very small sum of Rs 3/- <u>which counts to my pension</u> I have less than one year to retire from service, and if at this stage, this small final increment is not sanctioned, such an order would <u>affect my pension throughout my lifetime</u> These are very hard days of war condition which I need not reiterate and every pie that is added to my poor pay, would go a long way to keep myself and my children body and soul together

5 Under these circumstances, I most humbly pray that you may be kindly pleased to recommend once again my unfortunate case to our benign Government to very kindly reconsider the order already passed and accord their kind sanction to the increment from the due date

For which act of kindness, I shall be grateful lifelong

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,-

Your most obedient servant,

Sanitary Inspector
(on leave)

G R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT ...

(3 copies)

Diary No of letter replied to

Section ml

File No S 3-42

Serial No 21

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *194*

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 12/7 194

Signed by Mr. G.P. Rao

Read—Correspondence ending with letter No HES 913 dt 29-4-43 from the Dr of P Health, recommending that the increment due to Sri T S Gopalakrishnamachar, Sanitary Inspector of the Bangalore city may be sanctioned with effect from 1-9-39

Order No 557-9

Sanctioned

8/7/43

for Secy to Govt

12/7 Law Dept

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

To The Dr of P Health
The Ml Comm, Bcity, thro' DC
The Comptroller

List of Enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 087—GPB—40 000—14 11 40

9

S. O.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G O No L 322 333—L B 120 36 12, dated 5th July 1937

Sanitary Inspectors and Assistant Sanitary Inspectors

Issues orders regarding the authority to sanction the periodical increments to the —— working in the City, Town and Minor Municipalities

READ—

Government Order No L 8413 23—L B 120 36 6 dated 25th May 1937 directing that the periodical increments due to Sanitary Inspectors and Assistant Sanitary Inspectors working under the District Boards be sanctioned by the Presidents of the District Boards concerned the same being reported to the Director of Health and that in cases in which it is proposed to withhold the increments of these officials the approval of the Director of Health should be obtained

2 Letter No C 1170 13 dated 27th May 1937 from the Director of Health soliciting orders for the application of the procedure laid down in the Government Order read above for the grant of periodical increments to Sanitary Inspectors and Assistant Sanitary Inspectors working in the City Town and Minor Municipalities

ORDER No L 322 333—L B 120 36 12, DATED BANGALORE, THE 5TH JULY 1937

Government are pleased to direct that in the case of Sanitary Inspectors and Assistant Sanitary Inspectors working in the several Municipalities, the periodical increments be sanctioned by the following officers, the same being reported to the Director of Health —

(1) Bangalore City Municipality	Municipal Commissioner Bangalore City
(2) Mysore City Municipality	President City Municipal Council Mysore
(3) Town and Minor Municipalities	Presidents of the Municipal Councils concerned

2 In cases in which it is proposed to withhold the increments, the approval of the Director of Health should invariably be obtained

M VENKATANARANAPPA,
Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

To—The Director of Health in Mysore
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts
The Municipal Commissioner Bangalore City
The President Municipal Council Mysore
The Comptroller to Government

Exd — C K

WD 38—GPB—385—12 7 37